



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/720,448

11/24/2003

James McSwiggen

03-465-B (400.138)

4875

65778

7590

07/08/2009

MCDONNELL, BOEHNNEN, HULBERT AND BERGHOFF, LLP  
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE  
SUITE 3100  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

BOWMAN, AMY HUDSON

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1635

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/08/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/720,448	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCSWIGGEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AMY BOWMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AMY BOWMAN. (3) Peter Haeberli.

(2) Doug Schultz. (4) Wenfen Chen.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 52-56.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussion of rejection under 35 USC 103(a), as well as scope of enablement. Discussion of data set forth in the specification and comparison of the data to the instant claim scope for activity.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/AMY BOWMAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635	
---	--